

New research into postharvest quality of apples and pears (TF225)

Richard Colgan (NRI-UoG) Julien Lecourt (NIAB-EMR)



Tree Fruit Quality Consortium

- NRI-UoG Meta Analysis and Carbohydrate analysis (Chris Atkinson, Debbie Rees & Richard Colgan)
- NIAB-EMR Tree fruit Architecture- improvement in light penetration (Julien Lecourt)
- Fast LLP- Fruit thinning (Abi Dalton, Tim Biddlecombe)
- Landseer Ltd. Chlorophyll Fluorescence (Mehrdad Mirzaee, Mark Tully, Colin Carter)
- Grower Advisory Board: Nigel Jenner, Nigel Stewart, Nigel Kitney and Paul Smith.



How do we influence the taste of apples

- Consumer eating experience is driven by the sweetness, crispness, tartness and flavour of fruit.
- Apple taste: a balance between sweetness and acidity, with ample flavour volatiles.
- Apples that lack sweetness or acidity inferior in eating quality even when sufficient flavour volatiles are present.
- Sweetness linked to accumulation of Dry Matter (DM)
- Improved consumer preference for apples with high DM (Harker et al 2003)



Increasing Dry Matter (DM) improve the sweetness (% Brix) of apples

- Strong relationship between DM content at harvest and % Brix
- DM content at harvest has been used to predict % Brix coming out of store (max- 3 months)- (Palmer et al 2010)





Can we manipulate DM during fruit growth?

Natural Resources Institute



determined – influenced by fruit thinning

Cell expansion, final cell size, and fruit size – strong environment influence

Cell expansion driven by fruit sugar accumulation & water uptake -





WP3: Determine impact of & develop recommendations for thinning strategies on quality & DMC (FAST/NRI)

Year 1

• Track DM through season in well lit and shaded parts of the canopy

Years 2-4

• Compare different thinning timings to prove a positive and negative effect on DM

Years 4-5?

• Effect of crop load at optimum thinning time



Positional Effects on Initial Growth Rates of Gala Fruitlets





The rate of Dry Matter increase between Gala growing in high and low canopy



Dry Matter % + Rainfall Events 2016



AHDB TF 225 Dry Matter % & Sunshine Hours 2016



Proposed Thinning Treatments 2017-2021

• No thinning (control)

Hand Thinning

- Standard. pre/during 2nd fruit fall: 20 –30mm (BBCH 72–73)
- Late after second fruit fall up to 40mm (BBCH 74)
- Fruit removal below set size: (BBCH 71-72).

Chemical

- Exilis 6-Benzyladenine (funded by Fine) (BBCH 70-72)
- Brevis 150 SG metamitron 15% (funded by Adama) (BBCH 70-71 & 71-72).

Mechanical thinning- hand held - 60% first open flower (BBCH 65) Bud thinning - via late pruning using MAFCOT Equilifruit tool to gain optimum buds per branch diameter (BBCH 55-59)



Non destructive prediction of DM using (NIR) – Felix 750







WP4 Validating Chlorophyll fluorescence to predict optimal harvest of Gala for longterm storage

- Relationship of Chlorophyll fluorescence (CF) and Chlorophyll content with fruit maturity and DMC content of Gala.
- Using CF to predict harvest date.
- ▶ Gala from 6 orchards +/- SmartFreshSM
- stored at 5/1 and under DCA conditions.







<u>Stage 3</u>: Harvesting samples from each target orchard on two picking dates</u>



In this stage by analysing data:

- At CF warning there would be 7 days time to pick the fruit.
- At starch maturity warning, there would be 3 days time to pick the fruit.

Example from previous trials:





Comparison of Pocket PEA with Starch maturity test

Number of days CF alert was earlier than 75% starch



■ Number of days CF alert was earlier than 75% starch



CF warning (pocket PEA) as average was 7-10 days earlier than 75% starch

Light interception

'Improving light interception to increase orchard profitability'



Figure 2. Relationship between light interception and total dry matter production and yield of fruit of Golden Delicious/M.9 at East Malling.



- Improved uniformity
- Improved storage capacity
- Reduced P&D pressure





Methods – Centrifugal training

"Light well" brought about by centrifugal training to improve light penetration within the canopy Extinction on the underside of branches to increase porosity to light

Fruiting zone in the upper three-quarters of tree canopy

No branches below 1-1.2 m to permit development of the fruiting branches





Methods – Reflective ground covers





Treatments

- Treatments
- Tall spindle (TS) and centrifugal (CENT)
- Reflective ground covers

Training system	TS	CENT	TS	CENT
Reflective Covers	-	-	+	+

Light levels



Measuring light environment

- Measurements (light environment)
- LiDAR scan of the orchard (canopy characterisation)
- ACCUPAR within the canopy at 3 different levels
- Thermal imaging
- Quality assessments by NRI





Acknowledgements

- AHDB-Horticulture for funding the work programmes (TF225)
- Mr David Figgis use of Gala orchard and providing fruit

